



Crime and Disorder Committee

Thursday, 2 February 2023 at 7.30 pm

Council Chamber - Civic Centre

Members of the Committee

Councillors: J Furey (Chairman), S Lewis (Deputy Chair), M Darby, R Davies, L Gillham, N Prescott, S Ringham, S Saise-Marshall and S Williams

In accordance with Standing Order 29.1, any Member of the Council may attend the meeting of this Committee, but may speak only with the permission of the Chairman of the Committee, if they are not a member of this Committee.

AGENDA

- 1) Any report on the Agenda involving confidential information (as defined by section 100A(3) of the Local Government Act 1972) must be discussed in private. Any report involving exempt information (as defined by section 100I of the Local Government Act 1972), whether it appears in Part 1 or Part 2 below, may be discussed in private but only if the Committee so resolves.
- 2) The relevant 'background papers' are listed after each report in Part 1. Enquiries about any of the Agenda reports and background papers should be directed in the first instance to **Mr Andrew Finch, Democratic Services Section, Law and Governance Business Centre, Runnymede Civic Centre, Station Road, Addlestone (Tel: Direct Line: 01932 425623). (Email: Andrew.Finch@runnymede.gov.uk).**
- 3) Agendas and Minutes are available on a subscription basis. For details, please contact Democratic.Services@runnymede.gov.uk or 01932 425620. Agendas and Minutes for all the Council's Committees may also be viewed on www.runnymede.gov.uk.
- 4) In the unlikely event of an alarm sounding, members of the public should leave the building immediately, either using the staircase leading from the public gallery or following other instructions as appropriate.
- 5) Filming, Audio-Recording, Photography, Tweeting and Blogging of Meetings

Members of the public are permitted to film, audio record, take photographs or make use of social media (tweet/blog) at Council and Committee meetings provided that this does not disturb the business of the meeting. If you wish to film a particular meeting, please liaise with the Council Officer listed on the front of the Agenda prior to the start of the meeting so that the Chairman is aware and those attending the meeting can be made aware of any filming taking place.

Filming should be limited to the formal meeting area and not extend to those in the public seating area.

The Chairman will make the final decision on all matters of dispute in regard to the use of social media audio-recording, photography and filming in the Committee meeting.

List of matters for consideration

Page

Part I

Matters in respect of which reports have been made available for public inspection

1. **Notification of Changes to Committee Membership**

2. **Minutes**

4 - 7

To confirm and sign, as a correct record, the Minutes of the meeting of the Committee held on 6 October 2022 (Appendix A).

3. **Apologies for Absence**

4. **Declarations of Interest**

Members are invited to declare any disclosable pecuniary interests or other registrable and non-registrable interests in items on the agenda.

5. **Policing Update**

8 - 21

6. **Exclusion of Press and Public**

Part II

There are no part II (Exempt) items on this agenda

Runnymede Borough Council**Crime and Disorder Committee****Thursday, 6 October 2022 at 7.30 pm**

Members of the Committee present: Councillors J Furey (Chairman), S Lewis (Deputy Chair), M Darby, R Davies, L Gillham, S Ringham, S Saise-Marshall and S Williams.

Members of the Committee absent: Councillors N Prescott.

In attendance: Councillors T Burton.

252 Minutes

The Minutes of the meeting held on 7 July 2022 were confirmed and signed as a correct record.

253 Apologies for Absence

No apologies for absence were received.

254 Declarations of Interest

No declarations of interest were received.

255 Runnymede Policing Update

The Committee Chair welcomed the Borough Commander to the meeting, who opened by reminding Members of the Police & Crime plan as set by the Police & Crime Commissioner, along with the borough priorities, which the Borough Commander sets alongside Councillors, residents and other key stakeholders.

The borough priorities had recently been updated to add supporting vulnerable victims of fraud, which had replaced the county lines priority, which did still remain actively policed but remained largely static.

Referring to the 100% increase in adult abuse, the Borough Commander advised that the incidents would generally be neglect in a care setting, however there was likely to be some crossover with general vulnerable people calls, so the data was being worked through to make sure it was accurate. It was added that cuckooing was likely to fall into the category of adult abuse.

The date range of the data on overall crime started from 1 September 2020, which meant that there was some impact from the after-effects of lockdown, whilst the cost-of-living crisis also contributed some theft offences.

The Committee chair advised that the antisocial behaviour data reflected only the police aspect. The Borough Commander asked Members to encourage residents to report all kinds of antisocial behaviour to police.

Police based response priorities on a threat, harm and risk matrix. All reports form part of a larger picture that enables the police to plan patrols accordingly.

The Borough Commander agreed to provide more information on rural crime, and break

down reports of antisocial behaviour by town across the borough.

Partnership work with Police, Royal Holloway University and the Council remained ongoing, and was particularly prevalent given the new intake of students.

Monthly meetings were taking place to discuss antisocial behaviour reports, and a freshers' week operation had recently taken place, supporting the university with their zero tolerance policy towards drugs and discuss with students public safety, including drink spiking awareness.

The Borough Commander presented the locations in the borough that had been identified through the StreetSafe app, which related to any public space where the person reporting had felt unsafe.

Both a longer and shorter term approach had been identified, with dedicated patrols to the location to engage and assure the public, whilst longer-term they were under discussion within the Joint Action Group, and measures such as cutting back hedgerows had been undertaken. Because it was an anonymous tool, police were planning to go door-to-door where there was a cluster of reports to try to establish further activity.

The Borough Commander confirmed to a Member that whilst one of the borough's priorities was preventing violence against women and girls, the app also applied to males with the intention of improving safety in public places.

It was confirmed that the police's Designing Out Crime Officer does get consulted on large-scale planning applications, and had recently been asked to look at the Longcross North Planning Application. The Safer Runnymede Manager added that he was also consulted on planning applications, and subject to the cost of the CCTV being met by a developer, could potentially arrange for its installation.

The Borough Commander agreed to provide data on theft of motor vehicles in the borough, including the percentage of keyless car thefts, and provide more information on patterns of reports on StreetSafe.

The Committee thanked the Borough Commander for his attendance.

256 **Safer Runnymede CCTV Annual Report**

The Safer Runnymede Manager advised Committee that Safer Runnymede had had a productive year and CCTV continued to be a powerful tool when used to combat crime and anti-social behaviour, particularly when integrated with other crime reduction methods such as retail 'radio-link' systems and close working partnerships with colleagues from Surrey Police.

Over four thousand CCTV interventions had taken place across the borough. The Safer Runnymede Manager clarified that whilst the production of evidence appeared to have reduced, that was because requests for evidence by the police were generally made through the NICE, cloud based portal.

No public complaints had been received for the use of CCTV for the third year in a row. The metrics of incidents dealt with was incredibly varied, and included missing persons, fire alarms and hate crimes.

The Safer Runnymede Manager felt that CCTV continued to be an extremely valuable tool with a tradition of performance excellence and a culture of professionalism. A contract to deliver CCTV to another authority was close to completion, which would provide a much-needed revenue stream.

The Committee Chair emphasised that he also felt CCTV was extremely valuable and worthwhile on behalf of residents, with many results and outcomes.

In response to a Member's question on using CCTV to bring in revenue on parking enforcement, the Safer Runnymede Manager confirmed it was technically possible but utilising it for punitive measures could go against the ethos of the social value it provides.

The Committee Chair added that ANPR would be used in Runnymede's five largest car parks.

A Member asked about consultation when there were changes to an area that was covered by CCTV, such as a park. The Safer Runnymede Manager confirmed that regular consultations took place to explore the possibility of adjusting a CCTV's footprint to reflect the need, adding that in recent times there had been much more collaboration between Community Services and Community Development on forward thinking and horizon scanning.

Responding to a Member's concerns about an ongoing issue with lorries blocking in blue badge holders at a car park in the Borough, the Safer Runnymede manager encouraged Members to seek Safer Runnymede's assistance in obtaining number plates in order to support neighbourhoods and vulnerable people.

The Committee Chair thanked the Safer Runnymede Manager and his staff for their ongoing work.

257 **Community Safety Annual Report**

The Committee Chair opened the item by announcing that the Police Commissioner had submitted a number of bids to government's Safer Streets Fund to be spent in specific areas for specific issues, and had recently learnt that £195,000 had been granted to spend in and around Surrey Towers. This was expected to come to a future Committee as an agenda item.

The Safer Runnymede Manager added that two new CCTV assets to dissuade antisocial behaviour would be installed next week as part of the fund.

The Community Safety Officer presented the annual report highlighting the work of the Community Safety Partnership.

The Community Safety Partnership was comprised of statutory partners, which were the Borough and County Councils, Police, Fire Service and Health & Prevention.

There were several key areas of work with the Community Safety Partnership, including Prevent, which sought to stop individuals being drawn into extremism, as well as raising awareness of domestic abuse and support services for victims.

Others areas included the prevention of serious and organised crime, child exploitation, domestic burglary and antisocial behaviour.

The Domestic Abuse Outreach service continued to be provided by YourSanctuary who received 584 referrals for the Runnymede area during 2020/21, whilst 230 survivors accessed one-to-one support, 12 survivors attended the Freedom Programme and 31 survivors accessed the Specialist Male Service.

The Community Safety Officer remarked that the disparity between antisocial behaviour recorded by the police and council was largely down to the police recording Covid

breaches as antisocial behaviour.

In response to a Member's question about extending Junior Citizen to secondary schools, the Community Safety Officer confirmed that the scheme was in its final year in its current format but Surrey County Council were aiming to provide a more educational package for the PHSE curriculum, written by education specialists to ensure that lesson plans were correct.

Alternative schemes were under consideration for secondary school pupils, however funding would need to be obtained to launch these.

The Committee Chair thanked the Community Safety Officer for her attendance.

(The meeting ended at 8.59 pm.)

Chairman

Runnymede Policing Update (Surrey Police – Inspector James Wyatt)

Synopsis of report:

To receive a report on policing in Runnymede (attached at Appendix ‘A’) provided by Inspector James Wyatt, the Borough Commander.

Recommendation:

None. This report is for information only.

1. Context of report

- 1.1 In recent years, the Committee has received regular policing updates from Surrey Police.

2. Report

- 2.1 Inspector James Wyatt, the Borough Commander, will report to the Committee on policing in the Borough. His presentation is attached at Appendix ‘A’.

(For information)

Background Papers

None

Runnymede Crime and Disorder Committee

2nd February 2023



Police & Crime Plan 2021 - 2025

Preventing violence against women and girls in Surrey

Protecting people from harm in Surrey

Working with Surrey communities so that they feel safe

Strengthen relationships between Surrey Police and Surrey residents

Ensuring safer Surrey roads



Borough Priorities

Tackling Anti-Social Behaviour

Preventing violence against women and girls

Supporting vulnerable victims of fraud

Preventing Burglaries

Road Safety



A Fatal Collision occurred here

A Serious injury Collision occurred here

1

2

3

4

5

1

4

5

2

3

FATAL 5



DRINK & DRUG
DRIVING



SPEEDING



CARELESS DRIVING



NOT WEARING
A SEATBELT



USING A
MOBILE PHONE

Crime Statistics in Runnymede

Total Offences Runnymede: -129 (-1.9%) / Surrey: +2910 (+4.2%)

Categories	Recorded current	Recorded previous	Change	%age change	Solved current	Solved previous	Change	Solved %age change	Rate current	Rate previous	Rate change
TNO	6,522	6,651	-129	↓ -1.9%	925	941	-16	↓ -1.7%	14.2%	14.1%	↑ 0.0%
Domestic abuse	994	932	62	↑ 6.7%	127	110	17	↑ 15.5%	12.8%	11.8%	↑ 1.0%
High harm	1,280	1,218	62	↑ 5.1%	157	142	15	↑ 10.6%	12.3%	11.7%	↑ 0.6%
Violent DA	802	746	56	↑ 7.5%	103	83	20	↑ 24.1%	12.8%	11.1%	↑ 1.7%
Violence with injury DA	209	190	19	↑ 10.0%	36	24	12	↑ 50.0%	17.2%	12.6%	↑ 4.6%
Violence without injury DA	593	556	37	↑ 6.7%	67	59	8	↑ 13.6%	11.3%	10.6%	↑ 0.7%
Hate crime	217	209	8	↑ 3.8%	36	35	1	↑ 2.9%	16.6%	16.7%	↓ -0.2%
Serious sexual	176	194	-18	↓ -9.3%	9	16	-7	↓ -43.8%	5.1%	8.2%	↓ -3.1%
Rape	64	75	-11	↓ -14.7%	5	3	2	↑ 66.7%	7.8%	4.0%	↑ 3.8%
Child abuse	127	131	-4	↓ -3.1%	15	23	-8	↓ -34.8%	11.8%	17.6%	↓ -5.7%
Robbery	39	32	7	↑ 21.9%	4	4	0	— 0.0%	10.3%	12.5%	↓ -2.2%
Adult abuse	78	26	52	↑ 200.0%	1		1		1.3%		↑ 1.3%
Residential burglary	188	280	-92	↓ -32.9%	18	17	1	↑ 5.9%	9.6%	6.1%	↑ 3.5%
Commercial burglary	64	66	-2	↓ -3.0%	5	2	3	↑ 150.0%	7.8%	3.0%	↑ 4.8%
Violent Non-DA	2,422	2,407	15	↑ 0.6%	319	304	15	↑ 4.9%	13.2%	12.6%	↑ 0.5%

Solved Outcomes Runnymede: 14.2% / Surrey: 11.6%



Anti-Social Behaviour (ASB)

Area/Location (FYTD)	ASB Reports FYTD 20/21	ASB Reports FYTD 21/22	ASB Reports FYTD 22/23
Addlestone North	133	64	40
Addlestone Town	311	197	175
Chertsey	329	231	157
Egham Town	195	164	127
Englefield Green	240	178	131
New Haw & Woodham	152	102	83
Row Town & Ottershaw	182	118	94
Thorpe & Hythe	306	227	186
Virginia Water	105	63	40



ASB Comparison & Hotspots

Boroughs:

Hotspots:

Runnymede: 1033 Pooley Green
Elmbridge: 1057 Englefield Green
Spelthorne: 1384

Data: FYTD 01/04/22 to 31/12/22

ASB_TYPE

- ASB01 - ABND VEH NOT STOLEN OR CAUSING OBSTRUC...
- ASB02 - ANIMAL PROBLEMS
- ASB05 - FIREWORKS - INAPP SALE /USE /POSSESSION
- ASB06 - MALICIOUS / NUISANCE COMMUNICATIONS
- ASB07 - NOISE
- ASB09 - LITTERING/DRUGS PARAPHERNALIA
- ASB10 - NUISANCE NEIGHBOURS
- ASB11 - ROWDY & INCONSIDERATE BEHAVIOUR/NUISA...
- ASB12 - STREET DRINKING
- ASB14 - TRESPASS
- ASB15 - VEHICLE NUISANCE / INAPPROPRIATE USE
- ASB16 - ROWDY & INCONSIDERATE BEHAVIOUR/PERSO...



Rural Crime

19 Rural Crimes in 2022

- 11 Incidents of wildlife being killed or injured
- 4 Incidents involving building/habitat destruction
- 3 Poaching/fishing offences
- 1 Deliberate grassland fire



Vehicle Theft

178 Theft of vehicles in 2022 (Increased from 144 in 2021)

37 were keyless vehicle thefts

90 Catalytic Converter Thefts in 2022



Street Safe

Total reports to date: 137

68 reports gave a response for the time of day their concerns were (49.6% of total).

The most reported times were between 1600-2000 hours (24 reports) and 2000-0500 hours (22 reports, 32%).



Street Safe

130 of 137 reports give environmental concerns. (Lack of CCTV, poorly run down areas, signs of drug/alcohol use.

55 of 137 reports give behavioural concerns. These include verbal harassment (by group or individual), other harassment, and feeling of being followed.



Street Safe

Reasons for not making a crime report:



How To Contact Us

101 or 999 in an emergency

www.surrey.police.uk



Social Media

